

ILLiad: 836659

510.5 DEM  
MTX

ILL Number: 42181716



Serial Title: Demonstratio mathematica.

Borrower: MNI  
Winona State University  
Maxwell Library-ILL  
P.O.Box 5838  
**Winona, MN 55987-5838**

Article Author:  
Article Title: Browkin, J/Continued fractions in local fields I  
Imprint: Warszawa, Politechnika Warszawska. 1969

Volume: 11 Issue: 1  
Month/Year: 1978 Pages: 67-82

OCLC/Docline: 4636764

ARIEL  
4-25-08  
JUM

Regular  
Ship via: USA\$  
Charge  
Maxcost: \$18.00IFM  
Patron: Errthum, Eric  
Reference:  
Email:

Fax: Ariel: 199.17.168.65  
Lender String: \*UIU,IND,GUA,EEM,ORU  
Download Date: 20080422

Shelf \_\_\_\_\_ Sort \_\_\_\_\_  
CI \_\_\_\_\_ Staff \_\_\_\_\_  
Cardex \_\_\_\_\_  
Other Loc/Notes \_\_\_\_\_

This article comes to you from:  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
(UIU)

Initials/date 1<sup>st</sup> \_\_\_\_\_ Initials/date 2<sup>nd</sup> \_\_\_\_\_

USA\$  
ARIEL PHOTOCOPY

## IRRC NOTICE!

*To serve you better in the future...*

On receipt of this article, please check for missing pages, truncated text, and blurry images. If any are found, **please note the problem on our ILLiad request form** and return it to us immediately.

Thanks,  
IRRC/ILL  
Univ of Illinois at U-C  
Ariel: libarlirc02.library.uiuc.edu  
Fax: 217-244-0398

Jerzy Browkin

## Continued fractions in local fields, I

Dedicated to Professor Stefan Straszewicz

0. Introduction

In the present paper we define a continued fraction expansion of an element of a field complete with respect to a discrete valuation and we prove some basic properties of such continued fractions. There is some analogy with the ordinary continued fraction expansions of real numbers.

A.A.Ruban [3] considered analogous continued fraction expansions of  $p$ -adic integers and stated the existence and the uniqueness of such expansions. There are also considered another continued fractions connected with  $p$ -adic numbers (see e.g. [1] and [5]). It seems however that these continued fractions have very few in common with our definition.

We shall use the standard notations of the book of Perucca [2].

1. The mapping  $s$ . Let  $K$  be a field complete with respect to a discrete valuation  $v$  satisfying  $v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\nu$  the canonical homomorphism of additive groups  $K \rightarrow K/m_v$ , where  $m_v$  is the maximal ideal of the ring  $O_v$  of integers of  $K$ .

We shall consider a mapping  $s: K \rightarrow K$  satisfying  $s(0) = 0$ ,  $\nu s = \nu$  and  $s(a) = s(b)$  for  $a-b \in m_v$ . It follows that  $s(b) - b \in m_v$  for every  $b \in K$ . Of course such

a mapping  $s$  is not canonical. In some important particular cases we fix the mapping  $s$  as follows.

(1) Every element  $a$  of the field  $K$  complete with respect to a discrete valuation  $v$  can be uniquely written in the form

$$(1.1) \quad a = \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} a_n \pi^n,$$

where  $v(\pi) = 1$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and coefficients  $a_n$  belong to a fixed set  $R$  of representatives of the residue class field  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ . We assume that  $0 \in R$  and  $a_r \neq 0$ . For such an element  $a$  we define

$$(1.2) \quad s(a) = \sum_{n=r}^0 a_n \pi^n.$$

It is easy to verify that the mapping  $s$  defined by (1.1) and (1.2) has the required properties.

In particular if  $K = k((x))$  is the field of power series over a field  $k$  then we define the mapping  $s$  as follows

$$(1.3) \quad s\left(\sum_{n=r}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) = \sum_{n=r}^0 a_n x^n,$$

where  $a_n \in k$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From this formula we observe that in the case  $K = k((x))$  the set  $s(K)$  is a ring, namely the ring of polynomials  $k[x^{-1}]$ .

(2) Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  be the field of  $p$ -adic numbers and denote by  $v$  the  $p$ -adic valuation. Let us observe that every coset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p/m_v$  has the unique representative belonging to  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$  and to the interval  $\left(-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}\right)$ . Denote this representative of the coset  $a + m_v$  by  $s(a)$ . It is easy to verify that the mapping  $s$  has the required properties.

If we represent a  $p$ -adic number  $a$  (where  $p$  is an odd prime) as the series

$$a = \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} a_n p^n,$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_n \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\}$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{n=r}^0 a_n p^n \right| < \frac{1}{2}(p-1) \sum_{n=r}^0 p^n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^{r+1} - 1}{p^r} < \frac{p}{2}$$

and evidently

$$\sum_{n=r}^0 a_n p^n \in \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right]. \text{ Therefore } s(a) = \sum_{n=r}^0 a_n p^n.$$

It follows that in the case  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $p$  odd and  $R = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\}$  mappings  $s$  given by (1) and (2) coincide. For  $p = 2$  these mappings are different.

In what follows we assume that the mapping  $s$  is fixed.

2. Continued fractions. For any element  $\xi_0 \in K$  we define sequences  $(\xi_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  as follows

$$(2.1) \quad \xi_{n+1} = (\xi_n - s(\xi_n))^{-1} \quad \text{if } \xi_n \neq s(\xi_n), \quad b_n = s(\xi_n).$$

If  $\xi_n = s(\xi_n)$  for some  $n$  then the element  $\xi_{n+1}$  is not defined and the sequences  $(\xi_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are finite.

We have

$$\xi_0 = b_0 + \frac{1}{|b_1|} + \frac{1}{|b_2|} + \dots + \frac{1}{|b_n|} + \frac{1}{|\xi_{n+1}|} = [b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \xi_{n+1}].$$

Let us observe that  $\xi_n - s(\xi_n) \in m_v$  i.e.  $v(\xi_n - s(\xi_n)) > 0$ . Hence  $v(\xi_{n+1}) = -v(\xi_n - s(\xi_n)) < 0$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Consequently  $v(b_{n+1}) = v(s(\xi_{n+1})) = v(\xi_{n+1} + (s(\xi_{n+1}) - \xi_{n+1})) = v(\xi_{n+1}) < 0$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover

$$v(b_0) = v(s(\xi_0)) = \begin{cases} v(\xi_0) & \text{if } v(\xi_0) \leq 0 \\ v(0) & \text{if } v(\xi_0) > 0 \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $b_0 = 0$  or  $v(b_0) = v(\xi_0) \leq 0$ .

For any elements  $b_0, b_1, \dots$  of  $K$  let  $A_n = A_n(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n)$  and  $B_n = B_n(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  be defined as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} A_0 &= b_0, & A_1 &= b_0 b_1 + 1, & A_{n+2} &= b_{n+2} A_{n+1} + A_n, \\ B_0 &= 1, & B_1 &= b_1, & B_{n+2} &= b_{n+2} B_{n+1} + B_n. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** If elements  $b_1, b_2, \dots \in K$  satisfy  $v(b_n) < 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $B_n$  are defined by (2.2) then

$$v(B_n) = v(b_1) + v(b_2) + \dots + v(b_n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

**Proof.** We have  $v(B_0) = v(1) = 0$  and  $v(B_1) = v(b_1)$ , i.e. the lemma holds for  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$ . Let us assume that  $v(B_n) = v(b_1) + v(b_2) + \dots + v(b_n)$  and  $v(B_{n+1}) = v(b_1) + v(b_2) + \dots + v(b_n) + v(b_{n+1})$  for some non negative integer  $n$ . Then

$$v(b_{n+2} B_{n+1}) = v(b_{n+2}) + v(b_1) + v(b_2) + \dots + v(b_{n+1}) < v(B_{n+1})$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} v(B_{n+2}) &= v(b_{n+2} B_{n+1} + B_n) = v(b_{n+2} B_{n+1}) = v(b_{n+2}) + v(b_1) \\ &\quad + v(b_2) + \dots + v(b_n) + v(b_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows by induction.

**Corollary.** Under the assumptions of the lemma we have  $v(B_n) < 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  and hence  $B_n \neq 0$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

From (2.2) it follows that  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are respectively the numerator and the denominator of the continued fraction  $[b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]$  i.e.  $[b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n] = \frac{A_n}{B_n}$ .

**Theorem 1.** If elements  $b_0, b_1, \dots \in K$  satisfy  $v(b_n) < 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $A_n, B_n$  are defined by (2.2) then the sequence  $\left(\frac{A_n}{B_n}\right)$  is convergent to an element  $g \in K$  and

$$v\left(g - \frac{A_n}{B_n}\right) = v(B_n B_{n+1}) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

In particular  $v(g - b_0) > 0$ .

**Proof.** By the well known formulas we have

$$\frac{A_{n+1}}{B_{n+1}} - \frac{A_n}{B_n} = \frac{A_{n+1}B_n - A_nB_{n+1}}{B_nB_{n+1}} = \frac{(-1)^n}{B_nB_{n+1}}.$$

Hence

$$v\left(\frac{A_{n+1}}{B_{n+1}} - \frac{A_n}{B_n}\right) = -v(B_n B_{n+1}) > 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Therefore  $\left(\frac{A_n}{B_n}\right)$  is a Cauchy sequence and it is convergent in the complete field  $K$ .

Since by Lemma 1 the sequence  $(-v(B_n B_{n+1}))$  is increasing we have

$$v\left(\frac{A_m}{B_m} - \frac{A_n}{B_n}\right) = -v(B_n B_{n+1}) \quad \text{for every } m > n.$$

If  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $v\left(g - \frac{A_n}{B_n}\right) = -v(B_n B_{n+1})$ , as claimed.

Since  $\frac{A_0}{B_0} = b_0$  we have in particular  $v(g - b_0) = -v(B_0 B_1) = -v(b_1) > 0$ .

We denote  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n}{B_n}$  by  $[b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots]$ .

Assume now that the sequences  $(b_n)$ ,  $(b'_n)$  satisfy  $b_n, b'_n \in s(K)$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $v(b_n) < 0$ ,  $v(b'_n) < 0$  for  $n > 1$ . By standard arguments it follows that if these sequences are different then the sequences  $[b'_0; b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_n]$  and  $[b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]$  have different limits.

Namely we can assume that  $b_0 \neq b'_0$  and then by Theorem we have  $v(g-b_0) > 0$  and  $v(g'-b'_0) > 0$ , where  $g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [b_0; b_1, \dots, b_n]$   $g' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [b'_0; b'_1, \dots, b'_n]$ . The equality  $g = g'$  would imply that  $b_0$  and  $b'_0$  belong to the same coset modulo  $m_v$  and hence  $b_0 = b'_0$ . Contradiction.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that the sequences  $(\xi_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  defined for an element  $\xi_0 \in K$  by (2.1) are infinite and define  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  by (2.2). Then the sequence  $\left(\frac{A_n}{B_n}\right)$  is convergent to  $\xi_0$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\xi_0 = \frac{A_{n+1}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n, \xi_{n+1})}{B_{n+1}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \xi_{n+1})} = \frac{\xi_{n+1}A_n + A_{n-1}}{\xi_{n+1}B_n + B_{n-1}}.$$

Therefore

$$\xi_0 - \frac{A_n}{B_n} = \frac{A_{n-1}B_n - A_nB_{n-1}}{(\xi_{n+1}B_n + B_{n-1})B_n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{(\xi_{n+1}B_n + B_{n-1})B_n}.$$

We have  $v(\xi_{n+1}) = v(b_{n+1}) < 0$ . Hence from Lemma 1 it follows that  $v(\xi_{n+1}B_n + B_{n-1}) = v(B_{n+1})$  and consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \xi_0 - \frac{A_n}{B_n} \right) = 0.$$

From Theorem 2 it is easy to deduce that every element  $\xi_0 \in K$  has the unique finite or infinite continued fraction expansion  $\xi_0 = [b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots]$ , where  $b_n \in s(K)$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $v(b_n) < 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

In the sequel we shall consider only the continuous fraction expansions of this form.

3. Continued fractions of rational numbers. In the field  $Q_p$  of p-adic numbers we consider the mapping  $s$  defined by (2), i.e.  $s(a) \in Z\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$ ,  $-\frac{p}{2} < s(a) \leq \frac{p}{2}$  and  $v(a - s(a)) > 0$  for any  $a \in K$ .

**Theorem 3.** Every rational number has a finite continued fraction expansion in the field  $Q_p$  for every  $p$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\xi_0 \in Q \subset Q_p$  and define sequences  $(\xi_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  by means of (2.1) using the p-adic valuation  $v$ . We have for  $n \geq 1$

$$(3.1) \quad \xi_n = b_n + \xi_{n+1}^{-1},$$

if  $\xi_{n+1}$  is defined. Here  $b_n = s(\xi_n)$  is a rational number belonging to  $Z\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$  and to the interval  $\left(-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}\right)$ . Therefore  $b_n = c_n \cdot p^{-k}$ , where  $|c_n| < \frac{1}{2} p^{k+1}$ ,  $c_n \in Z$  and  $-k = v(b_n) = v(\xi_n) < 0$ . It follows that

$$(3.2) \quad \xi_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{p^k \beta_n},$$

where  $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in Z$ ,  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n) = 1$  and  $p \nmid \alpha_n \beta_n$ .

Analogously we prove that

$$(3.3) \quad \xi_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{p^m \beta_{n+1}},$$

where  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1} \in Z$ ,  $(\alpha_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1}) = 1$  and  $p \nmid \alpha_{n+1} \beta_{n+1}$ .

From (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that  $\xi_{n+1} = (\xi_n - b_n)^{-1} = p^k \beta_n (\alpha_n - c_n \beta_n)^{-1}$  and hence by (3.3) we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha_{n+1} (\alpha_n - c_n \beta_n) = p^{k+m} \beta_n \beta_{n+1}.$$

From (3.4) we observe that  $\alpha_{n+1} = \pm \beta_n$  and  $\beta_{n+1} = \pm p^{-k-m} \cdot (\alpha_n - c_n \beta_n)$ . It follows that



$$|\beta_{n+1}| \leq p^{-k-m} \left( |\alpha_n| + \frac{1}{2} p^{k+1} |\beta_n| \right) < \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_n| + \frac{1}{2} |\beta_n| .$$

Therefore

$$|\alpha_{n+1}| + 2 |\beta_{n+1}| < |\beta_n| + (|\alpha_n| + |\beta_n|) = |\alpha_n| + 2 |\beta_n| .$$

Since the sequence  $(|\alpha_n| + 2|\beta_n|)$  consists of natural numbers and decreases, then it is finite.

4. Periodic continued fractions. Let  $K$  be a field complete with respect to a discrete valuation  $v$  and let  $S$  be the field generated by the set  $s(K)$ . If for  $\xi_0 \in K$  we have a periodic continued fraction expansion

$$\xi_0 = [b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r, \overline{b_{r+1}, \dots, b_t}] ,$$

with the period  $(b_{r+1}, \dots, b_t)$ , where  $b_i \in s(K)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $v(b_j) < 0$  for  $j \geq 1$ , then by the standard arguments it follows that  $\xi_0$  belongs to a quadratic extension of the field  $S$ .

The converse is not true in general but in several particular cases we can give some positive results. On the other hand we are unable to decide if every  $\xi_0 \in Q_p$  such that  $(Q(\xi_0) : Q) = 2$  has a periodic continued fraction expansion in  $Q_p$ .

We begin with a description of the general procedure. Let  $D \in S$ ,  $\sqrt{D} \in K$  and  $(S(\sqrt{D}) : S) = 2$ . Moreover suppose that  $v(2) = 0$ . Consider sequences  $(\xi_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  defined by means of (2.1) for the element  $\xi_0 = \sqrt{D}$ . It follows that  $b_n \in S$  and  $\xi_n \in S(\sqrt{D})$ . Therefore there exist uniquely determined elements  $P_n, Q_n \in S$  such that

$$\xi_n = \frac{\sqrt{D} + P_n}{Q_n} .$$

The sequences  $(P_n)$  and  $(Q_n)$  can be described as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad P_0 = 0, \quad Q_0 = 1, \quad P_1 = b_0, \quad Q_1 = D - b_0^2,$$

$$P_{n+1} = b_n Q_n - P_n, \quad Q_{n+1} = b_n (P_n - P_{n+1}) + Q_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to deduce that

$$(4.2) \quad D - P_{n+1}^2 = Q_n Q_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Let  $\sigma \in G(S(\sqrt{D})/S)$  satisfy  $\sigma(\sqrt{D}) = -\sqrt{D}$ . We define  $\eta_n = \sigma(\xi_n)$ . It follows that

$$\eta_n = \frac{-\sqrt{D} + P_n}{Q_n}.$$

Applying the automorphism  $\sigma$  to the equality  $\xi_{n+1} = (\xi_n - b_n)^{-1}$  we obtain  $\eta_{n+1} = (\eta_n - b_n)^{-1}$ , because  $b_n \in S$ .

**L e m m a 2.**  $v(\eta_{n+1}) = -v(\xi_n)$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

**P r o o f .** Suppose that  $v(\sqrt{D}) \leq 0$ . We have

$$\eta_1 = (\eta_0 - b_0)^{-1} = (\eta_0 - \xi_0 + \xi_1^{-1})^{-1} = (-2\xi_0 + \xi_1^{-1})^{-1},$$

because  $\xi_0 - b_0 = \xi_1^{-1}$  and  $\eta_0 = -\sqrt{D} = -\xi_0$ . Since

$$v(\xi_1^{-1}) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(\xi_0) = v(\sqrt{D}) \leq 0, \quad \text{we obtain } v(\eta_1) =$$

$$= -v(-2\xi_0 + \xi_1^{-1}) = -v(\xi_0). \quad \text{Similarly } \eta_2 = (\eta_1 - b_1)^{-1} =$$

$$= (\eta_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2^{-1})^{-1} \quad \text{and hence } v(\eta_2) = -v(\eta_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2^{-1}) =$$

$$= -v(\xi_1), \quad \text{because } v(\xi_2^{-1}) > 0, \quad v(\eta_1) = -v(\xi_0) = -v(\sqrt{D}) \geq 0$$

and  $v(\xi_1) < 0$ .

Now let  $v(\sqrt{D}) > 0$ . We have  $b_0 = s(\sqrt{D}) = 0$  and it follows that

$$\eta_1 = (\eta_0 - b_0)^{-1} = \eta_0^{-1} = (-\sqrt{D})^{-1} = -\xi_0^{-1}.$$

Hence  $v(\eta_1) = -v(\xi_0)$ . Analogously we have  $\xi_1 = (\xi_0 - b_0)^{-1} =$

$$= \xi_0^{-1} = (\sqrt{D})^{-1} \quad \text{and hence } \eta_1 = -(\sqrt{D})^{-1} = -\xi_1. \quad \text{Moreover}$$

$\xi_1 - b_1 = \xi_2^{-1}$ , where  $v(\xi_2^{-1}) > 0$ . Consequently

$$\eta_2 = (\eta_1 - b_1)^{-1} = (\eta_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2^{-1})^{-1} = (-2\xi_1 + \xi_2^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Hence  $v(\eta_2) = -v(-2\xi_1 + \xi_2^{-1}) = -v(\xi_1)$ .

So the lemma holds for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ . Now we proceed by induction. For  $n \geq 2$  we have  $\eta_{n+1} = (\eta_n - b_n)^{-1}$  and  $v(\eta_n) = -v(\xi_{n-1}) > 0$  by the inductive assumption. Since  $v(b_n) = v(\xi_n) < 0$ , it follows that  $v(\eta_{n+1}) = -v(\eta_n - b_n) = -v(b_n) = -v(\xi_n)$ .

**L e m m a 3.** For  $n \geq 2$  we have

$$(i) \quad v(P_n) = v(Q_n b_n) = v(\sqrt{D}),$$

$$(ii) \quad v(P_n - \sqrt{D}) = v(\sqrt{D}) - v(b_n b_{n+1}).$$

**P r o o f .** We have  $v(\xi_n) < 0$  and  $v(\eta_n) = -v(\xi_{n-1}) > 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $v(\xi_n \pm \eta_n) = v(\xi_n)$ , i.e.

$$v\left(\frac{2P_n}{Q_n}\right) = v(b_n) \quad \text{and} \quad v\left(\frac{2\sqrt{D}}{Q_n}\right) = v(b_n).$$

It proves the first part of the lemma. The second part can be deduced from the definition of  $\eta_n$  as follows

$$v\left(\frac{P_n - \sqrt{D}}{Q_n}\right) = v(\eta_n) = -v(\xi_{n-1}) = -v(b_{n-1}).$$

**Consequently**

$$\begin{aligned} v(P_n - \sqrt{D}) &= v(Q_n) - v(b_{n-1}) = v(b_n Q_n) - v(b_n b_{n-1}) = \\ &= v(\sqrt{D}) - v(b_n b_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

**T h e o r e m 4.** If  $K = k(\langle x \rangle)$  is the field of power series over a finite field  $k$ , then every element  $\sqrt{D} \in K \setminus S$  such that  $D \in s(K)$  has the periodic continued fraction expansion.

**P r o o f .** For the field  $K = k((x))$  of power series over any field  $k$  we have  $s(K) = k[x^{-1}]$  and  $S = k(x)$ . Define elements  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  by means of (4.1). Since the set  $s(K)$  is a ring and  $D \in s(K)$ , then from the formulas (4.1) it follows that  $P_n, Q_n \in s(K)$ , i.e.  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  are polynomials in  $x^{-1}$ .

From Lemma 3 it follows that the polynomials  $P_n$  have the same degree and degrees of the polynomials  $Q_n$  are bounded. Consequently if the field  $k$  is finite, then the sequence  $(P_n, Q_n), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , has only finite number of distinct terms. Since this sequence is defined by recurrent relations, it is periodic. It follows that the sequence  $(b_n)$  is also periodic.

On the other hand if the field  $k$  is infinite, then Theorem 4 does not hold in general (see the paper [2] of Schinzel).

Let  $D \in S$  and  $\sqrt{D} \in K \setminus S$ . We shall consider the equation

$$(4.3) \quad x^2 - Dy^2 = (-1)^n.$$

A solution  $x = t, y = u \neq 0$  of the equation (4.3) is said to be a standard solution, if

- (i) The element  $\frac{t}{u}$  has a finite continued fraction expansion in  $K$ ,  $\frac{t}{u} = [b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r]$ ,
- (ii)  $t = A_r(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_r), u = B_r(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r)$ ,
- (iii)  $r \equiv n-1 \pmod{2}$ .

Let us remark that in the case  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  we have  $S = \mathbb{Q}$  and hence by Theorem 3 the condition (i) is always fulfilled.

The following theorem gives some connections between the periodicity of the continued fraction expansion of the element  $\sqrt{D}$  and the existence of a standard solution of the equation (4.3).

**T h e o r e m 5.** Let  $D \in S$  and  $\sqrt{D} \in K \setminus S$ . Then

$$(4.4) \quad \sqrt{D} = [b_0; \overline{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q}] \quad \text{in } K$$

if and only if  $x = A_{q-1}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{q-1})$ ,  $y = B_{q-1}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{q-1})$  is a standard solution of the equation (4.3) and

$$(4.5) \quad b_q = b_0 + \frac{A_{q-1} - B_{q-2}}{B_{q-1}}$$

belongs to  $s(K)$ .

**P r o o f .**  $\implies$ . Suppose that (4.4) holds. Then

$$\sqrt{D} = [b_0; b_1, \dots, b_{q-1}, b_q - b_0 + \sqrt{D}].$$

It follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \sqrt{D} = \frac{A_{q-1}(b_q - b_0 \sqrt{D}) + A_{q-2}}{B_{q-1}(b_q - b_0 \sqrt{D}) + B_{q-2}}$$

and hence

$$\sqrt{D} (B_{q-1}(b_q - b_0) + B_{q-2}) + DB_{q-1} = \sqrt{D} A_{q-1} + A_{q-1}(b_q - b_0) + A_{q-2}$$

From the irrationality of  $\sqrt{D}$  over  $S$  we deduce that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{q-1}(b_q - b_0) + B_{q-2} &= A_{q-1}, \\ A_{q-1}(b_q - b_0) + A_{q-2} &= DB_{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the first of the above equalities by  $A_{q-1}$ , the second - by  $-B_{q-1}$  and adding the results we obtain

$$A_{q-1}^2 - DB_{q-1}^2 = A_{q-1}B_{q-2} - A_{q-2}B_{q-1} = (-1)^{q-2} = (-1)^q.$$

Therefore  $(A_{q-1}, B_{q-1})$  is a standard solution of the equation  $t^2 - D u^2 = (-1)^q$ . Moreover from (4.7) it follows that

$$b_0 + \frac{A_{q-1} - B_{q-2}}{B_{q-1}} = b_q$$

and hence this element belongs to  $s(K)$ .

←. Let  $t, u$  be a standard solution of the equation (4.3). It means that  $u \neq 0$  and  $\frac{t}{u}$  has a finite continued fraction expansion  $\frac{t}{u} = [b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{q-1}]$  such that  $t = A_{q-1}$ ,  $u = B_{q-1}$  and  $q-1 \equiv n \pmod{2}$ . Moreover let

$$(4.8) \quad b_q = b_0 + \frac{A_{q-1} - B_{q-2}}{B_{q-1}} \in s(K).$$

Since  $A_{q-1}^2 - D B_{q-1}^2 = (-1)^q = B_{q-2} A_{q-1} - A_{q-2} B_{q-1}$ , then from (4.8) we deduce that

$$(4.9) \quad b_q - b_0 = \frac{A_{q-1} - B_{q-2}}{B_{q-1}} = \frac{D B_{q-1} - A_{q-2}}{A_{q-1}}.$$

We shall prove that (4.6) holds. In fact, from (4.9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_{q-1}(b_q - b_0 + \sqrt{D}) + A_{q-2}}{B_{q-1}(b_q - b_0 + \sqrt{D}) + B_{q-2}} &= \frac{A_{q-1} \sqrt{D} + (D B_{q-1} - A_{q-2}) + A_{q-2}}{B_{q-1} \sqrt{D} + (A_{q-1} - B_{q-2}) + B_{q-2}} = \\ &= \frac{D B_{q-1} + \sqrt{D} A_{q-1}}{\sqrt{D} B_{q-1} + A_{q-1}} = \sqrt{D}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.6) we deduce that

$$(4.10) \quad \sqrt{D} = [b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{q-1}, b_q - b_0 + \sqrt{D}]$$

and hence

$$(4.11) \quad \sqrt{D} - b_0 = [0; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{q-1}, b_q + (\sqrt{D} - b_0)].$$

Therefore substituting (4.11) into (4.10) and applying standard arguments we obtain that  $\sqrt{D} = [b_0; \overline{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{q-1}, b_q}]$ .

5. Examples. We cannot decide if for every  $D \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) : \mathbb{Q}) = 2$  and  $\sqrt{D} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  the continued fraction for  $\sqrt{D}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is periodic. We give below some numerical examples in the case  $p = 5$ . In all these examples the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{D}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_5$  is periodic with an even period.

To find a period of the sequence  $(b_n)$  it is sufficient to find  $k$  and  $m$  such that  $P_k = P_{k+m}$  and  $Q_k = Q_{k+m}$ .

It follows then that  $\xi_k = \frac{\sqrt{D} + P_k}{Q_k} = \xi_{k+m}$  and consequently  $b_{k+t} = b_{k+m+t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Of course  $D$  should satisfy  $2 \mid v(D)$ ,  $\sqrt{D} \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $D \cdot 5^{-v(D)} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ .

$$D = 6, \quad \sqrt{6} = 1 - 2.5 + 1.5^2 + \dots$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$b_n$	1	-8/5	6/5	7/5	-16/25	7/5	6/5
$P_n$	0	1	-9	-9	16	16	-9
$Q_n$	1	5	-15	-5	-50	5	-15

$$\sqrt{6} = [1; \overline{-8/5, 6/5, 7/5, -16/25, 7/5}]$$

$$D = 11, \quad \sqrt{11} = 1 + 1.5 + 2.5^2 + 0.5^3 + 0.5^4 + \dots$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$b_n$	1	-9/5	9/5	-8/5	9/5	6/5	2/5	56/25	-2/5	56/25	2/5
$P_n$	0	1	-19	-44	-44	-19	31	-69	181	181	-69
$Q_n$	1	10	-35	55	-35	10	-95	50	-655	50	-95

n	11	12
$b_n$	6/5	9/5
$P_n$	31	-19
$Q_n$	10	-35

$$\sqrt{11} = [1; \overline{-9/5, 9/5, -8/5, 9/5, 6/5, 2/5, 56/25, -2/5, 56/25, 2/5, 6/5}].$$

$$D = 14. \quad \sqrt{14} = 2 - 2.5 + 2.5^2 - 1.5^3 + \dots$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$b_n$	2	-3/5	-9/5	-6/5	166/125	-6/5	-9/5	-8/5	-9/5
$P_n$	0	2	-8	17	-83	-83	17	-8	-8
$Q_n$	1	10	-5	55	-125	55	-5	10	-5

$$\sqrt{14} = [2; \overline{-3/5, -9/5, -6/5, 166/125, -6/5, -9/5, -8/5}].$$

$$D = \frac{6}{25}. \quad \sqrt{D} = \frac{1}{5} - 2 + 1.5 - 1.5^2 - 2.5^3 + \dots$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5
$b_n$	-9/5	6/5	7/5	-16/25	7/5	6/5
$P_n$	0	-9/5	-9/5	16/5	16/5	-9/5
$Q_n$	1	-3	1	-10	1	-3

$$\sqrt{\frac{6}{25}} = [-9/5; \overline{6/5, 7/5, -16/25, 7/5}].$$

$$D = \frac{11}{25}. \quad \sqrt{D} = \frac{1}{5} + 1 + 2.5 + \dots$$

n	0	1	2	3
$b_n$	6/5	-12/5	12/5	-12/5
$P_n$	0	6/5	6/5	6/5
$Q_n$	1	-1	1	-1

$$\sqrt{\frac{11}{25}} = [6/5; \overline{-12/5, 12/5}].$$

$$D = \frac{14}{25}. \quad \sqrt{D} = \frac{2}{5} - 2 + 2.5 - 1.5^2 + \dots$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$b_n$	-8/5	8/5	9/5	6/5	-166/125	6/5	9/5	8/5
$P_n$	0	-8/5	-8/5	17/5	-83/5	-83/5	17/5	-8/5
$Q_n$	1	-2	1	-11	25	-11	1	-2

$$\frac{14}{25} = [-8/5; \overline{8/5, 9/5, 6/5, -166/125, 6/5, 9/5}].$$



On the other hand our attempts to prove that the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{19}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_5$  is periodic were without success and after determining 22 terms of the sequence  $(b_n)$  we did not obtain a period.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] K. M a h l e r : Zur Approximation p-adischer Irrationalzahlen, Nieuw Archief v. Wisk. 18(1934), 22-34.
- [2] C. P e r r o n : Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen, I, Stuttgart, 1954.
- [3] A.A. Р у б а н : Некоторые метрические свойства p-адических чисел, 11(1970) 222-227. Sibirsk, Mat. Z.
- [4] A. S c h i n z e l : On the arithmetical theory of continued fractions, Acta Arith. 6(1961) 393-413 and Acta Arith. 7(1962) 287-298.
- [5] Th. S c h n e i d e r : Über p-adische Kettenbrüche, Symp. Math. 4(1968/69) 181-189, Academic Press 1970.
- [6] P. B u n d s c h u h : p-adische Kettenbrüche und Irrationalität p-adischer Zahlen, Elemente der Math. 32(1977) 36-40.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

Received January 11, 1977.