1. In the general population, the prevalence of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) is estimated to be about $5 \%$ in adult males. In a southwestern city, 85 male firefighters were randomly selected and surveyed, and it was found that 9 of the $85(\hat{\pi}=10.6 \%)$ had PTSD. Clearly, the proportion of male firefighters from this city with PTSD in this sample is greater than $5 \%$, but does this result provide evidence that the prevalence of PTSD is higher for all male firefighters in this southwestern city than for males in the general population?

| Research <br> Hypothesis | The prevalence of PTSD is higher for male firefighters in this southwestern city than for males in the general population. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Null and <br> Alternative <br> Hypotheses | Let $\pi=$ the proportion of all male firefighters in this southwestern city that suffer from PTSD. Equivalently, you could view this as $\pi=$ the probability a randomly selected male firefighter from this southwestern city suffers from PTSD. <br> $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}: \pi=.05$ <br> $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}:} \pi>.05$ |
| Calculate the p-value | To find the p-value, we will use the binomial distribution with... $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=85 \\ & \pi=.05 \end{aligned}$ <br> Use the file BinomialProbabilities.xls to find the p-value. <br> Using $\mathrm{n}=85$ and $\pi=.05, \mathrm{p}$-value $=\mathrm{P}(9$ or more have PTSD $)=.0262$. |
| Conclusion | This study provides evidence that the prevalence of PTSD is higher for male firefighters in this southwestern city than for males in the general population. |

2. Census data for a certain county show that $19 \%$ of the adult residents in this county are Hispanic. Suppose 72 people are called for jury duty and only 9 of them are Hispanic (so $\hat{\pi}=12.5 \%$ ). Clearly, the proportion of Hispanics in this sample is less than $19 \%$. Does this apparent underrepresentation of Hispanics call into question the fairness of the jury selection system, overall?

| Research <br> Hypothesis | Hispanics are underrepresented during jury selection in this county. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Null and | Let $\pi=$ the true, long-run probability that a person selected for jury |
| Alternative | duty in this county is Hispanic. |
| Hypotheses |  |


|  | Ho: $\pi=.19$ <br> Ha: $\pi<.19$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Calculate the <br> p-value | To find the p-value, we will use the binomial distribution with... <br> $\mathbf{n}=72$ <br> $\pi=.19$ |
|  | Use the file BinomialProbabilities. $x$ ls to find the p-value. <br> Using $\mathbf{n}=72$ and $\pi=.19, p-v a l u e ~$ <br> $P(9)$ <br> or fewer are Hispanic $)=.1005$. |
| Conclusion | The results from this jury selection do not provide enough statistical <br> evidence that Hispanics are underrepresented in the jury selection <br> process in this county. |

3. Suppose a governor is concerned about his "negatives" (i.e., the percentage of state residents who express disapproval with his job performance). His campaign pays for a series of television ads, hoping that they can keep the negatives below $30 \%$. They use follow-up polling to assess the ads' effectiveness.
a. Set up the null and alternative hypotheses you would use to investigate the governor's question.

Let $\pi=$ the proportion of all state residents who express disapproval with his job performance; equivalently, $\pi=$ the probability a randomly selected state resident expresses disapproval with his job performance.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}: \pi=30 \%$
На: $\pi<30 \%$ (i.e., the ads are effective)
b. Suppose the test is carried out, and his negatives come in at $28 \%$. The p -value obtained is .18. Write a conclusion that addresses the governor's question.

Though less than $30 \%$ of those surveyed (i.e., the sample) expressed disapproval with his job performance, the results do not provide enough statistical evidence that the governors "negatives" are under $30 \%$ (i.e., there is not enough evidence that less than $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ of all state residents express disapproval). Therefore, there is not enough statistical evidence that the ads were effective.
c. Which of the following interpretations of the p-value is most appropriate? Explain.
i. There is an $18 \%$ chance that the ads were effective.
ii. There is an $82 \%$ chance that the ads were effective.
iii. There is an $18 \%$ chance that the poll was conducted correctly.
iv. There is an $18 \%$ chance that natural sampling variation could produce poll results such as these even if the ads weren't really effective.

